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### Defining Bystander Intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In order to intervene, a bystander must...</th>
<th>Therefore, bystander trainings should...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice the incident</td>
<td>Educate on warning signs and red flags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpret the incident as a problem</td>
<td>Challenge students to investigate further and ask others what they think</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel personally responsible for dealing with it</td>
<td>Discuss responsibility to act in high-risk situations and engage others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possess the skills/resources necessary to help</td>
<td>Teach and practice skills for intervening safely and appropriately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recognizing the Premise of BI Trainings

A Logic Model for Bystander Intervention Training Programs

Bystander Intervention Training → Immediate Training Outcomes → Increased Intervention → Decreased Problems

- Increased skills
- Increased self-efficacy
- Knowledge gains
- Attitudinal shifts
- Increased willingness to respond

- Sexual assault
- Hate speech
- Alcohol overdose
- Eating disorders

Source: adapted from Langford, 2012.
Placing BI Training in the Context of Other Efforts

Key Point: A bystander intervention training program should be considered as one component of a broader prevention effort, with synergistic elements put in place to support its overall goals.

- Changes to physical environment
- Community services (AOD, SA)
- Availability and marketing of alcohol
- Coalitions and cooperative arrangements
- Policies, enforcement and adjudication
- Campus standards (“community of care”)
- Campus norms (perceived support for BI)
- Services and procedures
- Targeted education efforts (SM, SNM, SGSN)
- BI trainings focused on (high-risk) groups
- Supplemental skills training (CPR, etc.)
- Normative feedback
- Skills, attitudes, awareness, perceptions of norms, etc.
The Emergence of Bystander Intervention

Challenges to Choosing a Bystander Intervention Program

- Many programs
- Different degree of literature to support each program
- Varying degree of cost
- Different areas of focus

Challenges to Implementation

- Marketing and recruitment
- Gaining buy-in from likely partners
- Customizing programs for “fit”
- Evaluation: determining efficacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Program/Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Bringing in the Bystander 6 research studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Step Up! 1 case study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Men’s Program 1 research study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Student Emergency Medical Service (SEMS) 1 case study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Red Watch Band 1 case study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Green Dot, etc. 1 research study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step Up!

Bringing in the Bystander
6 research studies

Mentors in Violence Prevention (MVP)
1 case study

Student Emergency Medical Service (SEMS)
1 case study

Red Watch Band
1 case study

Green Dot, etc.
1 research study

The Emergence of Bystander Intervention
Examining Different Bystander Programs
## Bringing in the Bystander

| **GOAL** | Encourage participants to become positive bystanders by learning to identify problem situations and apply practical skills for safe and effective intervention. |
| **IMPLEMENTATION** | 2-hour curriculum (includes facilitator guides and materials) |
| **TRAINERS** | Trained peer facilitators |
| **TARGET AUDIENCE** | All students |
| **GROUP COMPOSITION** | Mixed |
| **TEACHING METHODS** | Lecture, discussion, videos, interactive exercises, small group work |
| **FOCUS** | Sexual and interpersonal violence and harassment |
| **EVALUATION** | Improved knowledge, attitudes, self-efficacy, and behavioral intentions. |
| **COST** | $2,000 - $12,000 for 3-year license (depends on incl. of training) |

Source: https://www.soteriasolutions.org/college
## Green Dot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>GOAL</strong></th>
<th>Engage bystanders through awareness, education, and skills practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPLEMENTATION</strong></td>
<td>6 hours - entire weekend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAINERS</strong></td>
<td>Trained professional staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TARGET AUDIENCE</strong></td>
<td>High-risk groups and bystanders with social influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUP COMPOSITION</strong></td>
<td>Mixed gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEACHING METHODS</strong></td>
<td>Lecture, skills practice, role plays, video, discussion, writing, interactive media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOCUS</strong></td>
<td>Power-based personal violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EVALUATION</strong></td>
<td>Significantly lower rape myth acceptance scores and more bystander behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COST</strong></td>
<td>$24,240 facilitator training and administrative time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [http://www.livethegreendot.com](http://www.livethegreendot.com)
# Mentors in Violence Prevention

| GOAL | Reduce the level of men's violence against women by raising awareness, opening dialogue, challenging thinking, and inspiring leadership |
| IMPLEMENTATION | 90-minute session or 10-hour training over 3-5 months |
| TRAINERS | Former professional and college student-athletes |
| TARGET AUDIENCE | Student leaders and athletes |
| GROUP COMPOSITION | Discussions are single gender, interactive scenarios are mixed |
| TEACHING METHODS | Interactive scenarios, discussion, awareness-raising exercises |
| FOCUS | Violence prevention |
| EVALUATION | Participation in the MVP Program heightens students’ knowledge and awareness of gender violence |
| COST | $10,000 for training |

## Step Up!

| **GOAL** | Raise awareness of helping behaviors, increase motivation to help, develop skills and confidence, ensure safety of self and others |
| **IMPLEMENTATION** | Two-hour training or day-long retreat |
| **TRAINERS** | Untrained professionals or students |
| **TARGET AUDIENCE** | Athletes, but applicable to Greeks and other students |
| **GROUP COMPOSITION** | Mixed or single gender |
| **TEACHING METHODS** | Clickers, snowball survey, presentation, videos, skill building, scenario discussions |
| **FOCUS** | Various topics including alcohol |
| **EVALUATION** | Pre- and 2 post-tests provided with program |
| **COST** | $1,300 including 100 student athlete guides and 10 facilitator guides |

Source: [http://www.stepupprogram.org](http://www.stepupprogram.org)
### Student Emergency Medical Services (SEMS)

| **GOAL** | Maximize alcohol prevention efforts through education
| To effectively treat alcohol- and- drug-related emergencies and injuries in a timely manner |
| **IMPLEMENTATION** | One-hour session |
| **TRAINERS** | Trained peer educators |
| **TARGET AUDIENCE** | Pre-med, pre-dental, and education majors |
| **GROUP COMPOSITION** | Mixed gender |
| **TEACHING METHODS** | Lecture, CPR and first aid training, knowledge and skills training |
| **FOCUS** | High-risk alcohol use |
| **EVALUATION** | 70% of students at CU-Boulder would do something to help a drunken friend vs. 20% six prior to the formation of SEMS |
| **COST** | $1,340 administrative time |

Source: [http://www.semsboulder.org](http://www.semsboulder.org)
Student Emergency Medical Services (SEMS)

University of Colorado-Boulder Student Emergency Medical Services (SEMS) Program

**Recruitment**

- Call to action on the CU-Boulder SEMS website
- Targeted recruitment of pre-med, pre-dental, and education majors
- Greeks required to have SEMS representative

**Membership**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peer responders</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMTs</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer educators</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Almost 10% of CU-Boulder students are trained by SEMS

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
SEMS Impact on Bystander Attitudes

Students Who Would “Do Something” to Help a Drunk Friend

- Year of SEMS creation
  - 2004
  - 2010

- 20% in 2004
- 70% in 2010

50 percentage point increase in students who would “do something”

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
# Red Watch Band

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>GOAL</strong></th>
<th>Provide accurate information about the dangers of alcohol and train students on when, where, and how to get help</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPLEMENTATION</strong></td>
<td>1.5 hour training and 2.5 hour CPR class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAINERS</strong></td>
<td>Peer health educators, AOD specialists, CPR instructors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TARGET AUDIENCE</strong></td>
<td>All students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUP COMPOSITION</strong></td>
<td>Mixed gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEACHING METHODS</strong></td>
<td>Lecture, discussion, knowledge and skills training, role plays, CPR training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOCUS</strong></td>
<td>High-risk alcohol use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EVALUATION</strong></td>
<td>Pre- to post- knowledge assessment surveys included with program, but no formal evaluation results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COST</strong></td>
<td>$7,859 supplies and administrative time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [http://www.stonybrook.edu/sb/redwatchband](http://www.stonybrook.edu/sb/redwatchband)
# Men’s Program (Berkowitz)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>GOAL</strong></th>
<th>Reduce sexual aggression. Increase: understanding of consent, appropriate norms, prosocial behavior, and accuracy of perceptions of other men’s behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPLEMENTATION</strong></td>
<td>1.5 hour session and a 1 hour booster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAINERS</strong></td>
<td>Trained undergrad and doctoral male facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TARGET AUDIENCE</strong></td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUP COMPOSITION</strong></td>
<td>Single gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEACHING METHODS</strong></td>
<td>Small group social norms correction, discussion to facilitate empathy, context specific scenarios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOCUS</strong></td>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EVALUATION</strong></td>
<td>Improvements in self-reported sexual aggression and an effect on men’s perceptions that their peers would intervene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COST</strong></td>
<td>$1,883 administrative time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Logic Model for Bystander Intervention Training Programs

Bystander Intervention Training → Immediate Training Outcomes → Increased Intervention → Decreased Problems

Personal Determinants of Behavior & Behavioral Intentions → Behavioral Change → Consequences of Behavior

- Increased skills
- Increased self-efficacy
- Knowledge gains
- Attitudinal shifts
- Increased willingness to respond

- Sexual assault
- Hate speech
- Alcohol overdose
- Eating disorders

Source: adapted from Langford, 2012.
Quantifying and Comparing the Efficacy of BI Programs

Step 1: Core Outcomes

- **External Determinants of Behavior**
- **Personal Determinants of Behavior**
- **Behavioral Intentions**
- **Behavior (Intervention)**
- **Consequences of Behavior**

1 point each, 3 points max

2 points each, 6 points max

3 points each, 9 points max
Quantifying the Impact of BI: Steps 2 - 4

**Step 2**
- **Effect Size**
  - 0 - < 10% change = 0 pts
  - 10 - < 20% change = 1 pt
  - 20+ % change = 2 pts

**Step 3**
- **Sustained Effects**
  - ≤ 1 week = 0 pts
  - 8 – 30 days = 1 pt
  - 31 days – 6 months = 2 pts
  - 6 months – 1 year = 3 pts
  - 1 year + = 4 pts

**Step 4**
- **Methodology**
  - Anecdotal Evidence = X 1
  - Published Case Study = X 2
  - Quasi-Experimental Design = X 4
  - Randomized Control Trial = X 6

= TOTAL Impact Score per Article

**Calculating Average Impact Across Articles**

\[
\frac{\sum \text{Total Impact Scores}}{\# \text{ of articles}} = \text{Final Impact Score}
\]
# The Bystander Intervention Compass: A Visual Summary of Findings

## Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>Reach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red Watch Band</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$7,859</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Emergency Medical Services (SEMS)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1,340</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentors in Violence Prevention (MVP)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Up!</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Dot</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$24,240</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing in the Bystander</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$78,781</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men's Program</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>$1,883</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Bystander Intervention Compass, 2014, EverFi
Key Strategies for Successful Implementation
## Key Strategy:
### Gaining Buy-In from Potential Partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engaging Key Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Government</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emphasize they serve as role models and influence other students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In-depth trainings may facilitate dissemination to others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greek Community</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emphasize Greek community members as role models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Focus on bystander training with Greek leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Present bystander training during chapter meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residence Life</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emphasize the bystander model shift of focus from “enforcement” to “helping behaviors and student safety”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Focus on bystander training with RAs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnering with Other Student Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Organizations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Student Organizations" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Strategy:
Targeting Outreach to Opinion Leaders

The Green Dot Program Emphasizes Targeted Recruitment of Popular Opinion Leaders (Pols)

Who is most likely to intersect as a bystander with the target issue and population?
Which bystanders carry the most social influence?

Outreach To Popular Opinion Leaders at University of Mississippi

Identify POLs with the help of faculty, staff, and administrators
POLs receive an email with the message of “you’ve been identified as a leader” and offered a chance to participate in the in-depth training

Source: EverFi Coalition interviews
Key Strategy:
Customizing Bystander Programs for Campus “Fit”

**PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS: Red Watch Band**

- Incorporated small group discussion to make it more interactive
- Cutting back the curriculum to one hour
  - Eliminated “background of RWB” and the sharing why you are here piece
  - Skips the “share why you are here today” piece because students were not comfortable
  - Reduced CPR component is drastically

**PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS: Bringing in the Bystander**

- Emphasized mission of institution
- Added a video testimony of survivor from John Carroll

**PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS: Know Your Power**

- Students who identified with the actors in the images reported greater willingness to help

Key Strategy:
Social Marketing Campaigns Increase Awareness

Benefits of social marketing:

- Raises awareness across many different groups of people
- Is cost-effective

- Social marketing campaigns alone have not yet been linked to changes in bystander behavior

- Social marketing can be useful when linked with other prevention strategies that increase individual skills

Key Strategy:
Application of the Social Norms Approach

Assumptions of the Social Norms Approach

1. Norms Influence Behavior

2. Norms Are Often Misperceived
   they are often over- or under-estimated by the majority

3. Misperceptions Encourage People To Conform to A False Norm
   attitudes and behaviors are adjusted to confirm to what is incorrectly perceived to be true

4. Correcting Misperceptions Allows Individuals to Act In Accordance With Their Actual Beliefs
   most often positive and consistent with prevention goals

Impact on Bystander Behavior

Single strongest predictor of whether or not a man intervenes to prevent a sexual assault is what he thinks other men would do

Underestimations of other men’s support associated with a lower likelihood of intervention

Source: Fabiano et. al. (2003)

Misperceptions of Norms Documented in Haven

Percentage of Students Agreeing with the Following Statements*

- Most students at my school would feel comfortable intervening if they witnessed abusive behavior
  - 46.4%
- I would feel comfortable intervening if I witnessed abusive behavior
  - 62.7%
- Most students at my school would respect someone who intervened to prevent a sexual assault
  - 73.0%
- I would respect someone who intervened to prevent a sexual assault
  - 81.1%
- Most students at my school would take action if they saw someone trying to take advantage of another person sexually
  - 49.1%
- I would take action in a situation in which someone was trying to take advantage of another person sexually
  - 70.1%

* (those selecting 5, 6, or 7 on a 7-item Likert scale; 1 = Strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree)
Using Social Norms Approach to Address Bystander Issues

**Collect Data**

Survey on attitudes about bystander intervention and determine if misperceptions exist
- Responses to scenarios
- Actual behaviors
- Perception questions measure how student feels others would respond

**Develop Intervention**

Small group social norms
- Social norms marketing campaign
- Bystander intervention training
  - Incorporate skills training where possible
  - Incorporate normative feedback

**Evaluate**

Survey students on the extent to which they:
- Perceive bystander behaviors
- Noticed a risky situation
- Had an opportunity to intervene
- Actually intervened

Social norms interventions that **correct misperceptions** relating to bystander intervention can be incorporated into bystander intervention programs that also include a component of **skills development and training**

Source: Berkowitz, Social Norms Toolkit
Campus Case Studies
Gold University: Adaptation of Bringing in the Bystander

Gaining Administrative Buy-in

- Executive Vice President funded the bystander intervention education program
- Division of Student Affairs has bystander intervention program listed among its goals and initiatives

Marketing And Outreach To:

- residence halls
- orientation leaders
- first-year seminars
- athletic teams
- student leaders
- campus ministry
- student conduct board

Customization

Student feedback revealed students wanted the program to:
- reflect their institution
- have more time for group discussion and interaction

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
Evaluating Gold University’s Bystander Program

Increases after Three-month Post Survey

- Knowledge gains
- Willingness to intervene
- Readiness to change

Upperclassmen Gain More Knowledge than First-Year Students

A Majority of Students Reported Intervening in the Following:

- Helping someone who they believe is in an abusive relationship: 86%
- Helping someone who they believe has been sexually assaulted: 96%
- Making sure not to leave an intoxicated individual at a party: 84%
- Voicing concerns about visible physical abuse and sexist/racist/homophobic jokes: 71%

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
UNC-Chapel Hill’s One ACT: Drawing Upon Two National Programs

Program Elements

- A 4-hour bystander intervention training aligned with “Bringing in the Bystander”
- 2-week and 2-month follow-up
- Student organization developed around the program
- Supplemented by campus-wide social marketing campaign

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUSTOMIZATION</td>
<td>Customized the program based on student focus group feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARKETING &amp; OUTREACH</td>
<td>Included elements of Green Dot by focusing on Popular Opinion Leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVALUATION</td>
<td>Collecting data on bystander activity by asking if student has been in situation where they would notice an event</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
UNC-Chapel Hill’s One ACT: A Comprehensive Model

**INDIVIDUAL**
- Leadership in Violence Prevention course
- Peer educators do One-ACT training: increases skills and efficacy as change agents

**INTERPERSONAL**
- Foster staff-student relationships between VP Coordinator + 1 staff + 2 GAs serving as mentors

**GROUP**
- Group trainings with student organizations
- One ACT student organization: does social marketing, programming, and recruitment
- UNC Men’s Project

**INSTITUTION**
- Campus-wide social marketing campaign
- Generating buy-in from organizations and administrators

Improvements two months after participating in training:
- Students’ attitudes about sexual assault
- Increased willingness to act
- Increased bystander efficacy
- Slight increase in positive bystander behaviors

Source: EverFi Coalition interview; Pleasants, (2014).
Florida State University: Social Norms Approach to Address Bystander Intervention

### Misperceptions of Sexual Assault Norms and Behaviors

Online random sample of 3,000 FSU men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Perceived</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Got consent before sexual activity</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a woman is willing to go home with a man, consent to have sex implied</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervened when I witnessed a situation that looked like a female would end up being taken advantage of</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervened when I witnessed someone “hitting on” someone who didn’t want it</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If I saw a man physically mistreating a woman I know, I would do something to help her</td>
<td></td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would admire someone at my campus who intervened to prevent abuse sexual assault, or stalking</td>
<td></td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINDINGS**

- Strong positive intervention norms exist and are correctly perceived for the most part
- Even though most men state they would intervene, actual rates are very low
- Large misperceptions for rape myth attitudes and consent behaviors

Source: Berkowitz, Social Norms Toolkit
Two-Pronged Approach: Social Norms Campaign and Small Group Social Norms Interventions

### Intervention Development

1. **Social norms marketing campaign “The Measure of a Man”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consent</td>
<td>Most men understand the importance of getting consent before sexual intimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bystander</td>
<td>Most FSU men would intervene to prevent a sexual assault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-victim blaming</td>
<td>Most FSU men agree that blaming a sexual assault victim is wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior of men</td>
<td>Most FSU men are not as sexually active as you might think</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Small group social norms workshops**

- **Skills to intervene** taught in workshop designed for groups of male students, such as athletic teams and fraternity leaders
- **Normative feedback** delivered through use of clickers

Source: Berkowitz, Social Norms Toolkit
Results: Positive Impact on Bystander Intervention Intentions

Evaluation 1: Social Norms Marketing Campaign, The Measure of a Man

Would Admire Someone Who Intervened

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Would Intervene if Witnessed Emotional Abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation 2. Small Group Social Norms: The FSU Men’s Workshop

Men who would intervene if they saw emotional abuse

Before: 77%, After: 85%

Source: Berkowitz, Social Norms Toolkit
### UCM Pairs Bystander Training with Small Group Social Norms

#### CENTRAL MISSOURI TARGETS GREEK ALCOHOL USE

**90-minute training session for 19 chapters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Small Group Social Norms</th>
<th>Bystander Skills Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Chapter-specific data presented on:</em></td>
<td><em>Students learn:</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Real vs. perceived alcohol use and consequences</td>
<td>✔ Bystander theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Real vs. perceived acceptability of use and consequences</td>
<td>✔ Five common barriers to intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Discussion of discrepancies among group members</td>
<td>✔ Supports for intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete report provided to chapter leadership with offer for further support

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
Institution

Greek Community

Chapter

Individual

EPIC Program’s Comprehensive Approach

Encouraging Positive Intervention in Chapters

- House Party Guide, Newspaper ads
- Social Norms media campaign
- Environmental Management: EPIC team training Video contest
- Chapter-specific social norms clarification & bystander intervention skills training

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
Sample UCM Poster Supporting EPIC Program

96% of UCM sorority women think it’s unacceptable for a sister to miss chapter commitments due to alcohol use.

96% of UCM sorority women think it’s acceptable to stop a sister from drinking more if she has had too much.
Did You Know?

85% of UCM Greek students think it’s unacceptable to miss class due to alcohol.

Data collected from Fall 2009 EPIC survey.

The contents of this ad were developed under a grant from the Department of Education. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government. For more information contact the VSAP office at (660) 543-4044.
LEADING THE WAY TO AN EPIC FUTURE.

91% of UCM fraternity men think it’s acceptable to stop a brother from drinking more if he has had too much.

95% of UCM fraternity men think it’s unacceptable for a brother to miss chapter commitments due to alcohol use.

91% of UCM fraternity men think it’s unacceptable for a brother to miss class due to alcohol use.
Results: UCM Greeks More Comfortable Intervening

Comfort Level with Cutting Off a Chapter Member
Scale 1-5; 1 = Not at all comfortable, 5 = Very comfortable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 &amp; 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: Decreased Alcohol Use and Negative Academic Consequences

- High-risk drinking
- Blacked out
- Missed class
- Performed poorly on a test

Source: EverFi Coalition interview
Conclusions

• Bystander intervention can be an effective component of a comprehensive prevention strategy

• As with the adoption of any prevention strategy:
  – Gather data to assess need for and targets of BI training
  – BI trainings ought to be focused on specific groups and behaviors to be successful
  – Other program components ought to reinforce the messages and goals of your BI training program

• Successful “off the shelf” models can (and should) be adapted to fit your specific campus

• Social norms should be included as an intervention component to overcome barriers to action
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Slide 27: Misperceptions of Norms Documented in Haven

Slide 28: Using Social Norms Approach to Address Bystander Issues

Slide 30 - 31: Gold University: Bringing in the Bystander
Source: EverFi Coalition interview

Slide 32 -33: UNC-Chapel Hill’s One ACT: Drawing Upon Two National Programs
Source: EverFi Coalition interview
Contact: Robert Pleasants, Interpersonal Violence Prevention Coordinator, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, bpleas@email.unc.edu
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## Bystander Intervention Programs

A comparison of bystander intervention training programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus of Program</th>
<th>Green Dot</th>
<th>Bringing in the Bystander</th>
<th>Student Emergency Medical Services (SEMS)</th>
<th>Red Watch Band</th>
<th>Step Up!</th>
<th>Mentors in Violence Program</th>
<th>Men's Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal</strong></td>
<td>Power-based personal violence</td>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>High-risk alcohol use</td>
<td>High-risk alcohol use</td>
<td>High-risk alcohol use, discrimination, hazing, sexual assault, etc.</td>
<td>Violence prevention</td>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage bystanders through awareness, education, and skills practice</td>
<td>Help participants identify sexually violent behaviors, identify barriers to intervening, make a commitment to intervene, and learn skills to intervene safely</td>
<td>Maximize alcohol prevention efforts through education to effectively treat alcohol- and drug-related emergencies and injuries in a timely manner</td>
<td>Provide accurate information about the dangers of alcohol and train students on when, where, and how to get help</td>
<td>Raise awareness of helping behaviors, increase motivation to help, develop skills and confidence when responding, and ensure the safety and well-being of self and others</td>
<td>Reduce the level of men's violence against women by raising awareness, opening dialogue, challenging thinking, and inspiring leadership</td>
<td>Reduce sexual aggression, educate on rape attitudes and sexism, increase understanding of consent, education on appropriate norms, increased engagement in prosocial behavior, and increase accuracy of perceptions of other men's social and sexual behavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Execution</strong></td>
<td>6 hours - entire weekend</td>
<td>90-minute session – 4.5 hours over a week</td>
<td>1 hour session</td>
<td>1.5 hour training and 2.5 hour CPR class</td>
<td>2 hour session; can be divided into two parts of 75 -90 minutes each</td>
<td>90-minute session or 10-hour training over 3-5 months</td>
<td>1.5 hour session and a 1 hour booster session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trainers</strong></td>
<td>Trained professional staff</td>
<td>One male and one female trained peer facilitator</td>
<td>Trained peer educators</td>
<td>Peer health educators, AOD specialists, CPR instructors</td>
<td>Trained professional staff</td>
<td>Former professional and college male and female student-athletes</td>
<td>Two trained male facilitators, either undergraduate or doctoral psychology students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix II: Bystander Program Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Audience</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Teaching Method</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men's Project</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Discussions are single gender interactive scenarios are mixed</td>
<td>Small group social norms correction intervention</td>
<td>$1,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Up</td>
<td>Student groups</td>
<td>Interactive scenarios and awareness raising exercises</td>
<td>Intervention to facilitate empathy for context specific scenarios</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Watch Band</td>
<td>All students</td>
<td>Interactive presentations with videos and skill building exercises</td>
<td>Participation in the MVP Program improves self-reported sexual aggression and an understanding of perceptions that others might have</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services (SEMS)</td>
<td>Pre-med, pre-dental, and education majors</td>
<td>Lecture, CPR and First Aid</td>
<td>Pre-posts knowledge test surveys included with facilitators guide as well as a post-training evaluation of questionnaires to measure efficacy</td>
<td>$759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing in the Bystander</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Lecture, discussion, role plays</td>
<td>Improved knowledge, attitudes, self-efficacy, and behavioral intentions scores. Program provides tools for more effective bystander strategies</td>
<td>$1,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Dot</td>
<td>Mixed gender</td>
<td>Lecture, skill practice, video discussion</td>
<td>Significantly lower rape myth acceptance scores and reported engagement in significantly more bystander behaviors</td>
<td>$78,781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Audience</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Teaching Method</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men's Project</td>
<td>Single gender</td>
<td>Discussion, role plays</td>
<td>Participation in the MVP Program improves self-reported sexual aggression and an understanding of perceptions that others might have</td>
<td>$1,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Up</td>
<td>Mixed gender</td>
<td>Lecture, discussion, role plays</td>
<td>Participation in the MVP Program improves self-reported sexual aggression and an understanding of perceptions that others might have</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Watch Band</td>
<td>Mixed gender</td>
<td>Interactive presentations with videos and skill building exercises</td>
<td>Participation in the MVP Program improves self-reported sexual aggression and an understanding of perceptions that others might have</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services (SEMS)</td>
<td>Pre-med, pre-dental, and education majors</td>
<td>Lecture, CPR and First Aid</td>
<td>Pre-posts knowledge test surveys included with facilitators guide as well as a post-training evaluation of questionnaires to measure efficacy</td>
<td>$759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing in the Bystander</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Lecture, discussion, role plays</td>
<td>Improved knowledge, attitudes, self-efficacy, and behavioral intentions scores. Program provides tools for more effective bystander strategies</td>
<td>$1,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Dot</td>
<td>Mixed gender</td>
<td>Lecture, skill practice, video discussion</td>
<td>Significantly lower rape myth acceptance scores and reported engagement in significantly more bystander behaviors</td>
<td>$78,781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix III: Gold University Bystander Survey

Methods (Adapted from Dr. Victoria Banyard’s assessment - UNH)

Pre/Post Survey

Demographic Information:

What is your age? _____

What is your class year? _____

Which gender do you identify as?

Male _____ Female _____ Transgender _____

Which of the following racial/ethnic categories best describes you?

____ White, Not Hispanic _____ Black/African American (non-Hispanic)
____ Asian/Pacific Islander _____ American Indian/Alaskan Indian
____ Hispanic _____ Mixed race/Multiracial
____ Other

Where/how are you receiving this presentation?

____ Classroom
____ Residence Hall
____ Club/Student Organization
____ Other

Have you ever attended a campus education program on dating violence. ___Yes ___ No

rape or sexual assault ___Yes ___ No

During the current semester, how often did you drink any alcoholic beverage (beer, wine, liquor)?

____ Everyday _____ Nearly every day
____ One or two days a week _____ Two or three days a month
____ Once a month or less _____ Never
**Belief in Sexual Assault Myths/Readiness to Change Questions**

Please read each of the following statements and indicate how true each is of you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Not at all true</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Very much true</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I don't think sexual assault is a big problem on campus</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't think there is much I can do about sexual assault at</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing something about sexual assault is solely the job of the administration</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think I should learn more about sexual assault</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think I can do something about sexual assault</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have actively sought out opportunities to learn more about sexual assault</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last 6 months I attended a program about sexual assault, on or off campus, other than this one</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a woman or man is raped when s/he is drunk, s/he is at least somewhat responsible for letting things get out of control</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a woman or man is willing to “make out” with someone, then it’s no big deal for that person goes a little further and have sex.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a woman or man doesn’t physically fight back, you really can’t say it was rape</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape accusations are often used as a way of getting back at men</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape is unlikely to happen in the woman’s or man’s own familiar neighborhood</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A lot of women lead a man on and then cry rape</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A woman who “teases” men deserves anything that might happen</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A woman who dresses in skimpy clothes should not be surprised if a man tries to force her to have sex</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix III: continued

Willingness to Intervene Questions

Please read the following list of behaviors and check how likely you are to engage in these behaviors at Gold University using the following scale.

1  2  3  4  5
Not likely at all  Extremely likely

Encourage a friend to seek help if they had an unwanted sexual experience
1  2  3  4  5

Walk a friend home from a party who has had too much to drink
1  2  3  4  5

Confront a friend who is grabbing, pushing, or insulting their partner
1  2  3  4  5

Let a friend who is being grabbed, pushed, or insulted by their partner know that I am available for help
1  2  3  4  5

Call the Gold University Police Department or contact an RA if I hear someone in distress or calling for help
1  2  3  4  5

Let a friend who I suspect has been sexually assaulted know I am available for help/support
1  2  3  4  5

Speak up if I hear someone say, “He/She deserved to be raped.”
1  2  3  4  5

POST-ONLY Questions:

Post only:

Were you able to relate to the case studies even though they were not based at Gold University (circle one)

Yes  Unsure  No

What was most helpful?

What was least helpful?
3-month Post-Program Survey

Behavioral Change Questions

For the following questions, please answer in the context of Gold University

I developed a specific plan for ways I might safely intervene as a bystander if I see sexual abuse happening around me.
   Yes   No

I got further training in skills to confront and prevent sexual assault.
   Yes   No

I encouraged others to learn more and get involved in preventing sexual assault.
   Yes   No

I talked to others about not leaving someone behind, alone, at a party.
   Yes   No

I talked to others about sexual assault as an issue for our community.
   Yes   No

I let others know I am there to help when:
   a. I think someone is in an abusive relationship.
      Yes   I did not find myself in this situation. No, I did not let others know.
   b. I think that someone has been sexually assaulted.
      Yes   I did not find myself in this situation. No, I did not let others know.
   c. Someone wants support when reporting a sexual assault, even if it could get others in trouble.
      Yes   I did not find myself in this situation. No, I did not let others know.

I made sure I did not leave an intoxicated friend behind at a party.
   Yes   No (Pop-up question: Why?) I did not find myself in this situation.

I went with someone to talk to the police/RA/hospital/Women’s Resource Center about an unwanted sexual assault.
   Yes   No I did not find myself in this situation.

In the past three months, I intervened when:

   a. I heard sounds of yelling and fighting coming from a nearby room.
      Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
      No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
      I did not find myself in this situation.
   b. I saw someone be pushed or grabbed by his/her partner.
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

c. I heard someone talking about forcing someone to have sex with him/her.
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

d. I heard someone saying that it is OK to have sex with someone who is passed out or very intoxicated.
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

e. I saw an intoxicated individual at a party and asked if he/she needed to be walked home.
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

f. I saw someone who was intoxicated being brought into a different room going upstairs or home with someone they just met at a party.
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

g. I saw 2 people talking very closely to one another, and one person looked extremely uncomfortable.
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

h. I heard someone say “She deserved to be raped.”
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

i. I heard someone making sexist, racist, and/or homophobic jokes.
Yes, I intervened. (Pop-up question: What did you do?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

In the past three months, I called for help when:

a. someone told me that they had been sexually assaulted.
Yes, I called someone. (Pop-up question: Who did you call?)
No, I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.
Appendix III: continued

b. someone thought they had been drugged.
Yes. I called someone. (Pop-up question: Who did you call?)
No. I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

c. I heard someone yelling for help.
Yes. I called someone. (Pop-up question: Who did you call?)
No. I did not intervene in this situation. (Pop-up question: Why?)
I did not find myself in this situation.

In the past three months, have you been in a different situation where you intervened and used prosocial behavior?

Yes. I was and I intervened. (Pop-up question: What was the situation and what did you do?)
I was in a situation, but I did not intervene. (Pop-up question: What was the situation, and why didn’t you intervene?)
I was not in such a situation.

I refuse to remain silent when a perpetrator asked me to remain quiet about an instance of sexual assault that I knew about.

Yes. I did not find myself in this situation. No (Pop-up question: Why?)

When I heard that someone was accused of sexual assault, I came forward with what I knew rather than keeping silent.

Yes. I did not find myself in this situation. No (Pop-up question: Why?)
Appendix IV: Integrating the Social Norms Approach and Bystander Intervention in Sexual Assault and AOD Prevention

by Alan D. Berkowitz

The social norms approach and bystander intervention are two cutting-edge, science-based strategies for preventing sexual assault and for intervening against high-risk use of alcohol and other drugs. Currently in the field, these two interventions tend to be implemented separately despite strong evidence that either would be more effective if combined with the other.

Regarding social norms for sexual assault, norm misperceptions have been found to influence whether or not an individual is willing to intervene to prevent an assault as well as whether or not an individual is willing to perpetrate one. Similarly, for alcohol and other drug issues, use tends to be overestimated among students in general and in particular among high-risk users, misperceptions which serve to inhibit moderate or non-use and promote high-risk use. It has also been documented that students underestimate the extent to which others are bothered by the “second-hand effects” of high risk drinking.

As a result of this research small-group norms correction interventions and social norms media campaigns have gained increasing acceptance as both a sexual assault and AOD prevention strategy.

Recently, bystander intervention has become a cutting edge practice within the sexual assault prevention field given that many sexual assaults are witnessed and can be prevented by bystanders. A number of training models have been developed that teach individuals the importance of intervention and the skills to do so. These include Mentors in Violence Prevention, the Green Dot Campaign, and Bringing in the Bystander. The AOD prevention field has had success implementing the bystander model with respect to not letting drunk drivers drive, but less so to teach individuals to confront other negative effects of high-risk drinking.

While both bystander intervention and the social norms approaches are supported by science and evaluation research, have been strongly recommended by researchers (see Lonsway, et al, 2009) and are increasingly being adopted by the field, it is less well-known that these two approaches can be combined and that both are likely to be more effective when offered together. The synergy between these two approaches is due to the fact that one of the main barriers to an individual intervening to prevent a sexual assault or high-risk alcohol use is the misperception that others: are not concerned, would not support an intervention, and would not respect someone who did so. (For summaries of this research see Berkowitz, 2010 and Berkowitz, 2013). Thus, an effort to lower misperceptions relating to bystander intervention fits well with teaching individuals to notice and intervene in high-risk situations, with correction of these misperceptions increasing the likelihood that someone will intervene.

A number of institutions of higher education have adopted this dual-approach of integrating norms correction with bystander intervention, based on my own and other’s work. These programs may have a number of components, including a social norms media campaign to
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correct misperceptions that inhibit bystanders from intervening, workshops for staff, student leaders and students on effective intervention skills, small group norms interventions, a focused research agenda to identify healthy attitudes and behavior and their misperceptions, and public lectures.

Some of the campuses that have successfully integrated these two approaches include Ohio University (see Gidycz, Orchowski and Berkowitz, 2011 for a published evaluation of this program), University of Central Missouri, the State University of New York at Albany, Florida State University, and the University of California at San Diego. Descriptions of these programs and contact information follow this overview. The recent re-design of Sexual Assault.Edu, a popular on-line sexual assault prevention course (called “Haven” in its new form) also incorporates bystander intervention with the social norms approach.

It is strongly recommended by AOD and sexual assault prevention researchers (and prevention researchers and theorists in general) that a comprehensive prevention program include multiple elements that are synergistic and mutually reinforcing. Thus, these efforts to combine the social norms approach with bystander intervention is consistent with theory, research and best practice and it is therefore strongly recommended that both be utilized together.

Resources:


Berkowitz, A.D. (2013). Fostering Healthy Norms to Reduce Violence in our Communities: A Social Norms Toolkit. Developed by the New Jersey Coalition Against Sexual Assault with a grant from the Division on Women, DCF, NJ. Available from www.alanberkowitz.com or www.njcasa.org
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Case Studies: Integrating the Social Norms Approach with Bystander Intervention

*The case studies below describe programs in which the social norms approach and bystander intervention have been successfully integrated with each other. They are based on the work of Dr. Alan Berkowitz, who has served as a consultant for each of these programs.*

**University at Albany, State University of New York.** This program, at a large state university in the Northeast, integrates multiple components designed to reduce risk behaviors (alcohol and other drug use, violence, risk factors that may lead to suicide) and foster positive bystander intervention. It includes social norms media campaigns, bystander intervention training, peer leadership training, and other workshops and programs integrated into multiple settings and venues. The program is based in the University Counseling Center, which has developed a bystander intervention model called “STEP-UP UALBANY” that integrates the following components: pro-social behavior, five decision-making steps for addressing a risky situation, factors that make it difficult to help, warning signs of a risky or concerning situation, a continuum of options to safely intervene, intervention skills, and campus and community resources. Extensive data has been collected annually and recent surveys indicate significant reductions in a variety of health-risk behavior, including alcohol use and related negative consequences, mental health risk factors that may lead to suicide, and anonymous reports of sexual assault and intimate partner violence.

For more information contact M. Dolores Cimini, Ph.D. at dcimini@albany.edu or go to the UAlbany Counseling Center website for program descriptions, PSA’s and other materials (www.albany.edu/counseling_center/).

**University of Central Missouri.** The University of Central Missouri has pioneered the use of small group norms interventions, offered to first year students, fraternities and sororities, and the general campus, that integrate normative feedback about risky behaviors and desire to intervene with bystander intervention skills and information. Social norms media campaigns are offered to the campus at large to reinforce the workshops and promote healthy behaviors and norms correction. One outgrowth of this work is a student organization called EPIC (Encouraging Positive Interventions on Campus) which has a Facebook page with resources and information (www.facebook.com/EPICEducators).

For more information contact Amy Kiger from the Office of Violence and Substance Abuse Prevention at kiger@ucmo.edu or go to their program website: www.theepicproject.com which contains bystander intervention materials, PSAs and bystander intervention videos developed by students that focus on the theme of alcohol abuse intervention.
Appendix IV: Integrating the Social Norms Approach and Bystander Intervention in Sexual Assault and AOD Prevention

**Florida State University.** Florida State has extensive experience and success using social norms media campaigns to reduce substance abuse. More recently, FSU has developed small group workshop for sexual assault prevention that integrate normative feedback with bystander intervention skills, coupled with a campus-wide social norms anti-violence media campaign. The campaign is directed primarily at male students and is titled “FSU Men Measure Up.” Extensive survey research has been conducted to document norms and perceptions related to consent, bystander intervention, sexual activity, belief in rape myths, etc. A case study of the program is contained in the document: *Fostering Healthy Norms to Reduce Violence in our Communities: A Social Norms Toolkit* available from [www.alanberkowitz.com](http://www.alanberkowitz.com) or [www.njcsa.org](http://www.njcsa.org).

For more information contact Richard Howell, Deputy Director of the Florida Center for Prevention Research at rlhowell@fsu.edu or go to the Center for Prevention Research website: [www.fcpr.fsu.edu](http://www.fcpr.fsu.edu) and click on “projects” for information about the campaign, including statistics, media, and workshop information.

**University of California at San Diego.** The USCD program integrates a bystander intervention training program (BIT) with social norms media campaigns. The UCSD Bystander Intervention Model was created by UCSD students and staff to empower participants with the skills needed to become a responsive bystander in everyday situations. Components of the model include the following IDEAS for getting involved: Interrupt, Distract, Engage Peers, Authorities, and Safety. The model was originally developed for sexual assault prevention and is now being integrated into other issues on campus.

For more information contact Nancy Wahlig at the Sexual Assault & Violence Prevention Resource Center nwahlig@ucsd.edu or go to [www.sarc.uscd.edu](http://www.sarc.uscd.edu)
Appendix V: Components of a Successful Rape Prevention Program for Men

“The Men’s Program”
by Alan D. Berkowitz


Abstract: Men and women living in randomly selected 1st year dormitories participated in tailored single-sex sexual assault prevention or risk-reduction programs, respectively. An evaluation of the men’s program is presented (N=635). The program incorporated social norms and bystander intervention education and had an impact on self-reported sexual aggression and an effect on men’s perceptions that their peers would intervene when they encountered inappropriate behavior in others. Relative to the control group, participants also reported less reinforcement for engaging in sexually aggressive behavior, reported fewer association with sexually aggressive peers, and indicated less exposure to sexually explicit media.

Workshop description. Participants in this workshop attended a 1.5-hour prevention program and a 1-hour booster session, both facilitated by two highly trained male peers. The workshop protocol has been described previously and sustained evaluation in two prior studies (see below).

Program elements (in order) were:

Introduction and warm-up. Facilitators introduce themselves and the workshop. Participants answer the questions “What’s difficult about being a man on this campus” creating an atmosphere of informal discussion, honesty, and an opportunity for self-disclosure.


Group norms exercise. Discussion of campus and workshop participant norms, documenting of misperceptions and discussion about them.

Scenarios. Presentation and discussion of scenarios involving high-risk coercive behavior by men, including participant reactions.

Small group bystander intervention practice. Presentation of bystander intervention. Meet in small groups to discuss a scenario and what intervention could work. Rejoin in large group to discuss intervention options generated by each group.

Final discussion and wrap-up.

Booster session description

Introduction and overview. Facilitators review components and content of initial workshop.
Appendix V: Components of a Successful Rape Prevention Program for Men

*Break-out sessions.* Participants meet in small groups to discuss a list of questions including if their perception of the problem of sexual assault has changed, if the consent model has been helpful to them, examples of discomforting behavior of other men and how they responded.

*Concluding whole-group discussion.*

**Comments**
The workshop was highly successful, reducing actual rapes (not only self-reported intent) by 75% over a four-month period, but this rebounded at 7-months. Intensive facilitator training and supervision was critical. Because of the nature of the research study there were no other parallel, reinforcing program elements, such as speakers, other workshops, media campaigns, etc. It is unlikely that a single workshop with a short booster-session can produce long-term sustainable change without other ongoing efforts to reinforce the workshop outcomes. Evaluation measures suggest that the workshop corrected misperceptions, which in turn created a healthy normative culture among first-year men that marginalized sexually coercive men and inhibited them from committing assaults.

*For more information or to implement this workshop on your campus contact Alan Berkowitz at alan@fitg.net*

**Additional resources and evaluations of the workshop:**


